Zabell & Associates, P.C. One Corporate Drive, Suite Bohemia, New York 11716
Tel. 631-589-7242 EMPLOYMENT COUNSELING, LITIGATION, LABOR & BENEFITS LAW

Counseling and Advising Clients Exclusively on Laws of the Workplace

Zabell & Associates, P.C. One Corporate Drive, Suite 103 Fax. 631-563-7475 www.laborlawsny.com

Saul D. Zabell Email: szabell@laborlawsny.com

December 28, 2016

VIA ELECTRONIC CASE FILING

The Honorable Steven L. Tiscione United States Magistrate Judge United States District Court Eastern District of New York 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

> Roy White v. Visual Millwork & Fixture MFG, Inc. Re:

> > Case No.: 16-cv-0071 (ENV) (SLT)

Your Honor:

We are co-counsel to Visual Millwork & Fixture MFG, Inc., Defendant, in the above-referenced matter. We write to respectfully request the issuance of an Order striking Plaintiff's Complaint, removal of pro bono mediation counsel, M. Salman Ravala, Esq., and an award of costs and sanctions associated with the cancellation of Plaintiff's previously confirmed Deposition.

On December 5, 2016, Plaintiff agreed to the following deposition schedule: December 27, 2016 for Defendant's deposition of Plaintiff; December 28, 2016 for Plaintiff's deposition of Mario Fichera, Sr.; and December 29, 2016 for Plaintiff's deposition of Mario Fichera, Jr. and James Calandra. Defendant confirmed these dates in writing on December 6, 2016. See Exhibit 1.

On or about December 20, 2016, the undersigned learned Mr. Mario Fichera. Sr., Chief Executive Officer of Defendant Corporation was to begin medical treatment on December 27, 2016. Defendant attempted to contact pro bono mediation counsel M. Salaman Ravala, Esq. on December 23, 2016 via telephone prior to filing a motion to extend time to complete discovery because Your Honor Ordered that depositions be complete prior to the commencement of mediation. [ECF Doc. 22] Mr. Ravala's

Zabell & Associates, P.C.

December 28, 2016 Page 2 of 3

narrow role in this litigation is "for the limited purpose of Mediation." [ECF Doc. 23] Although Defendant was not able to communicate with Mr. Ravala, in the interest of expediency, Defendant filed its motion to extend time later that day on December 23, 2016. [ECF Doc. 26]

During the evening of December 23, 2016, Mediator Charles Newman, Esq. asked if Mr. White knew of the change of schedule. See Exhibit 2. Mr. Ravala then transmitted an email stating, "noted. Yes, he is aware that the depositions are off." Id. An associate in my office responded and stated, "Please note the depositions are not off. To clarify, and as discussed in our letter, 'he will be unable to appear for his previously scheduled deposition on December 28, 2016.' The other depositions will move forward as planned." Id.

Thereafter, at 6:18 p.m. on Monday, December 26, 2016, Mr. Ravala stated, "Mr. White had previously scheduled a vacation, and had cancelled that to do the deposition on the condition that he would be able to depose your clients the next day. Unfortunately, I was out of office to communicate directly with you due to the holiday week(end) and advised Mr. White about your ECF filing just in case he still had an opportunity to make it to his vacation, he could actually do so. He will not be available for tomorrow's deposition due to the last minute mix-up. I also reviewed the discovery submitted to date and there are some important documents missing. I'll be going over his file this week and be in touch accordingly. Thank you." *Id*.

The undersigned responded at 6:37 p.m., by stating, "Mr. White is expected to be there tomorrow. Please advise him of such. If he fails to appear we will move for costs and sanctions against both him and you for your interference." *Id*.

On December 27, 2016, Plaintiff failed to appear for his previously scheduled and confirmed deposition. We endeavored to speak to Plaintiff telephonically. Plaintiff informed the undersigned he was in "one of the Carolinas" after Mr. Ravala instructed him not to appear. Mr. Ravala noted his appearance with the specific caveat that his role was "for the limited purpose of Mediation." [ECF Doc. 23] Accordingly, there exists no basis for Mr. Ravala to interfere in any way with depositions and/or discovery, which precipitated Plaintiff's failure to appear. Moreover, Plaintiff provides no justification to explain why he relied on Mr. Ravala's representations. Simply put, Mr. Ravala has inappropriately interfered with this litigation thereby causing significant delays.



December 28, 2016 Page 3 of 3

The undersigned engaged Golkow Litigation Technologies for its court reporter services in connection with the deposition. As a result of Plaintiff's failure to appear, Defendant was charged a fee for the last minute cancellation.

Based on the foregoing, Defendant respectfully requests an Order striking Plaintiff's Complaint, removal of *pro bono* mediation counsel, M. Salman Ravala, Esq., and an award of costs and sanctions associated with the cancellation of Plaintiff's deposition. Counsel remains available should Your Honor require additional information regarding this application.

Respectfully submitted,

ZABELL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Saul D. Zabell SDZ/ik

cc:

Mr. Roy White (via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested) Mr. M. Salman Ravala, Esq. (via Electronic Case Filing)